

CSCC40 (Fall 2010)

Instructor: Wael Aboulsaadat

Assignment 1 Marking

Marker:	Team:		
	Total Marks:	/100	
I. Problem identific	ation (20%):		
How well have you r	esearched the problem, and the	organization you are dealing with by talk	
to people, reading do	cuments, etc.?		
Problem description	n - 10		
() poor	() acceptable	() excellent	
[] problem/symptom	description		
[] description of exis	sting system		
[] organization descr	iption		
Evidence of contact	with people in the organizati	on or reading documents - 5	
() poor	() acceptable	() excellent	
[] interviews			
[] questionnaires			
[] sample forms/doc	uments		
How hard is your p	roblem? – 5		
(e.g., studying an exi	sting system for a large organiz	cation is harder than studying the possibili	
of a new system for a	small store)		
() too small	() too large	() just right	
[Note: As with Goldi	locks and the Three Bears]		

II. Alternatives and cri	teria (15%):			
Have you considered obvious/interesting alternatives? 5				
() too few/obvious	() few, some interesting	() adequate number, interesting ones		
How well defined are y	our criteria and how thorough	is your evaluation? 5		
() insufficient	() incomplete mix	() adequate mix		
[] operational feasibility	/			
[] technical feasibility				
economical feasibility				
[] cost/benefit ar	nalysis			
[] tangible benef	fits			
[] intangible ben	nefits			
[] schedule feasibility				
[] risk assessment				
Are your recommenda	tions backed by appropriate ev	vidence? Are they reasonable? 5		
() loosely	() somewhat backed up	() well backed up		
[] Statement of recomm	endation			
[] Feasibility Analysis M	Matrix			
III. Supporting evidence	ce (15%):			
The supporting evidence	ce you include in terms of figur	res, tables, cost/benefit analysis etc10		
() insufficient	() partially sufficient	() adequate		
Organization of appen	dices 5			
() poor	() acceptable	() good		

IV. Diagrams (30%)	:	
How complete and a	ccurate are your goal diagrams	in justifying the alternatives and the
criteria you are prop	oosing? 10	
() insufficient	() partially sufficient	() good
How complete and a	ccurate are your class diagrams	describing the information needed for
the alternative being	recommended? 10	
() insufficient	() partially sufficient	() good
How complete and a	ccurate are your state diagrams	describing the business processes that
are assumed for the	alternative that is being recomn	nended? 10
() insufficient	() partially sufficient	() good
V. Presentation (20%	%):	
Covers language, gran	mmar, format, clarity, style, etc	
Language, grammar	, spelling, format 10	
() poor	() acceptable	() good
Style and clarity – 10)	
() poor	() acceptable	() good