

## **CSCC40** (Fall 2010)

## **Instructor: Wael Aboulsaadat**

## **Assignment 3 Marking**

Marker:	Team:	
	<b>Total Marks:</b>	/100
Marks for this assignme	nt depend on the factors and criteria liste	d below.
A: System Architec	ture (20%).	
Description and justifica	ation of the hardware, networking and so	ftware platform selected for the
design; also, description	and justification of the software architect	eture adopted.
marks:/20		
• Specification of compu	nter network (existing or new, if any)	
() worst in my pile	() somewhere in the middle	() top
• Specification of the ha	rdware (existing or new)	
() worst in my pile	() somewhere in the middle	() top
• Specification of the se	oftware platform (operating system and	other commercial software you
will be using for your sy	estem)	
() worst in my pile	() somewhere in the middle	() top
• Specification of genera	al software architecture; e.g., client-serve	r, MVC, layered, etc
() worst in my pile	() somewhere in the middle	() top
• Identification of sub-sy	ystems and major components	
() worst in my pile	() somewhere in the middle	() top

• Justification that the overal	l design meets all requirements	
() worst in my pile	() somewhere in the middle	( ) top
B: Program Design (21	<b>%</b> ).	
A description of the detailed	design of the application component of	the system, given in terms
of class, sequence, and state	diagrams.	
marks:/21		
DI CI D: (70/)		
B1. Class Diagrams (7%)		
marks:/7		
• Description of class diagram	ns, including a data dictionary.	
() worst in my pile	() somewhere in the middle	( ) top
• Quality of the diagrams		
( ) Little understanding of cla	ass diagrams.	
() Some understanding, but	there are flaws or omissions.	
() Reasonable diagrams, but	not enough to capture the design of the	application and/or there
is missing information from	some diagrams, e.g., attributes, operation	ns, multiplicities
() Good and complete diagra	ams, cover well the design	
() Excellent work		
• Justification that the design	meets relevant requirements	
() worst in my pile	( ) somewhere in the middle	() top
<b>7</b> 1	· ·	· / · I
B2. Sequence Diagrams (7%		
marks:/7		
• (Informal) Description of se	equence diagrams.	
() worst in my pile	() somewhere in the middle	( ) top

• Quality of the diagrams					
() Little understanding of sequence diagrams.					
() Some understanding, but the	here are flaws or omissions.				
() Reasonable diagrams, but	not enough to capture the design of the applic	cation and/or there			
is missing information from some diagrams, e.g., conditional branching or terminations					
() Good and complete diagra	ms, cover well the design				
() Excellent work					
• Justification that the design	meets relevant requirements				
() worst in my pile	() somewhere in the middle	() top			
D2 G D1 (50.4)					
B3. State Diagrams (7%)					
marks:/7					
. (Informal) Description of at	ata dia amang				
• (Informal) Description of st	•				
() worst in my pile	() somewhere in the middle	() top			
• Quality of the diagrams					
() Little understanding of star	te diagrams.				
() Some understanding, but there are flaws or omissions.					
() Reasonable diagrams, but not enough to capture the design of the application and/or there					
is missing information from some diagrams, e.g., events, conditions and actions for various					
transitions					
() Good and complete diagrams, cover well the design					
() Excellent work					
• Justification that the design meets relevant requirements					
() worst in my pile	() somewhere in the middle	( ) top			

C. Database Diagrai	ms (20%)	
marks:/20		
• Class and ER diagrams	describing all data to be stored in the data	abase, along with identifiers
and other constraints		
() worst in my pile	() somewhere in the middle	( ) top
• Workload data (expecte	d number of instances for different classes	es, frequency of most
important operations)		
() worst in my pile	() somewhere in the middle	( ) top
• Restructuring of the ER	diagram	
() worst in my pile	() somewhere in the middle	( ) top
Generation of the relation	onal schema	
() worst in my pile	() somewhere in the middle	( ) top
• Normalization of the sc	hema	
() worst in my pile	() somewhere in the middle	( ) top
• Justification that the des	sign meets relevant requirements	
() worst in my pile	() somewhere in the middle	( ) top
D. User Interface De	esign (20%).	
	user interfaces to be supported by your sy	stem.
marks:/20	series to be supported by your by	~

() somewhere in the middle

( ) top

• Clear description of the different user groups

() worst in my pile

• State diagrams describing t	he dialogues supported by the interface	
() worst in my pile	() somewhere in the middle	( ) top
. Ma almas of mindows		
• Mockups of windows		
() worst in my pile	() somewhere in the middle	() top
• Website design (if relevant	)	
() worst in my pile	() somewhere in the middle	( ) top
<ul> <li>Input/Output design</li> </ul>		
() worst in my pile	() somewhere in the middle	() top
• Justification that the interfa	ce design meets relevant requirements	
() worst in my pile	( ) somewhere in the middle	( ) top
() worst in my pine	() somewhere in the initiale	() top
E. Supporting Docume	ntation (10%).	
Supporting documentation for	or the selections you made for hardware, soft	ware and networking
(eg, prices, configurations, v	endors considered,), meetings with your cu	stomer (if any),
meeting among team member	ers, supporting evidence for some of your des	ign decisions,
marks:/10		
F. Presentation (10%)		
The style of your presentatio	n, including language, grammar, clarity, orga	nization of
appendices, etc.		
<b>marks</b> :/10		
F1. Language: Grammar, sp	elling,	
() worst in my pile	() somewhere in the middle	( ) top
F2. Style and structure: E.g.,	table of contents, proper title page, page nur	mbers, introduction,
conclusions, etc.)		
() worst in my pile	() somewhere in the middle	( ) top