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## Multi-Way Search Tree

- A multi-way search tree is an ordered tree such that
- Each internal node has at least two children and stores $d-1$ key-element items $\left(\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{i}}, \boldsymbol{o}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right)$, where $\boldsymbol{d}$ is the number of children
- For a node with children $v_{1} v_{2} \ldots v_{d}$ storing keys $k_{1} \boldsymbol{k}_{2} \ldots \boldsymbol{k}_{d-1}$
- keys in the subtree of $v_{1}$ are less than $\boldsymbol{k}_{1}$
- keys in the subtree of $v_{i}$ are between $\boldsymbol{k}_{i-1}$ and $\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(\boldsymbol{i}=2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{d}-1)$
- keys in the subtree of $v_{d}$ are greater than $k_{d-1}$
- The leaves store no items and serve as placeholders



## Multi-Way Inorder Traversal

- We can extend the notion of inorder traversal from binary trees to multi-way search trees
- Namely, we visit item $\left(\boldsymbol{k}_{i}, \boldsymbol{o}_{i}\right)$ of node $v$ between the recursive traversals of the subtrees of $v$ rooted at children $v_{i}$ and $v_{i+1}$
- An inorder traversal of a multi-way search tree visits the keys in increasing order
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## Multi-Way Searching

- Similar to search in a binary search tree
- A each internal node with children $v_{1} v_{2} \ldots v_{d}$ and keys $\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \boldsymbol{k}_{2} \ldots \boldsymbol{k}_{d-1}$
- $k=k_{i}(i=1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{d}-1)$ : the search terminates successfully
- $k<k_{1}$ : we continue the search in child $v_{1}$
- $\boldsymbol{k}_{i-1}<\boldsymbol{k}<\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(\boldsymbol{i}=2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{d}-1)$ : we continue the search in child $v_{i}$
- $k>k_{d-1}$ : we continue the search in child $v_{d}$
- Reaching an external node terminates the search unsuccessfully
- Example: search for 30



## $(2,4)$ Trees

- A $(2,4)$ tree (also called 2-4 tree or 2-3-4 tree) is a multi-way search with the following properties
- Node-Size Property: every internal node has at most four children
- Depth Property: all the external nodes have the same depth
- Depending on the number of children, an internal node of a $(2,4)$ tree is called a 2 -node, 3 -node or 4 -node



## Height of a $(2,4)$ Tree

- Theorem: A $(2,4)$ tree storing $\boldsymbol{n}$ items has height $\boldsymbol{O}(\log n)$


## Proof:

- Let $\boldsymbol{h}$ be the height of a $(2,4)$ tree with $\boldsymbol{n}$ items
- Since there are at least $2^{i}$ items at depth $\boldsymbol{i}=0, \ldots, \boldsymbol{h}-1$ and no items at depth $\boldsymbol{h}$, we have

$$
n \geq 1+2+4+\ldots+2^{h-1}=2^{h}-1
$$

- Thus, $\boldsymbol{h} \leq \log (\boldsymbol{n}+1)$
- Searching in a $(2,4)$ tree with $n$ items takes $\boldsymbol{O}(\log \boldsymbol{n})$ time depth items
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## Insertion

- We insert a new item $(\boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{o})$ at the parent $v$ of the leaf reached by searching for $k$
- We preserve the depth property but
- We may cause an overflow (i.e., node $v$ may become a 5 -node)
- Example: inserting key 30 causes an overflow
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## Overflow and Split

- We handle an overflow at a 5-node $v$ with a split operation:
- let $v_{1} \ldots v_{5}$ be the children of $v$ and $k_{1} \ldots k_{4}$ be the keys of $v$
- node $v$ is replaced nodes $v^{\prime}$ and $v^{\prime \prime}$
- $v^{\prime}$ is a 3 -node with keys $k_{1} k_{2}$ and children $v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}$
- $v^{\prime \prime}$ is a 2 -node with key $k_{4}$ and children $v_{4} v_{5}$
- key $\boldsymbol{k}_{3}$ is inserted into the parent $\boldsymbol{u}$ of $\boldsymbol{v}$ (a new root may be created)
- The overflow may propagate to the parent node $\boldsymbol{u}$



## Analysis of Insertion

## Algorithm put( $k, o)$

1. We search for key $k$ to locate the insertion node $v$
2. We add the new entry $(\boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{o})$ at node $\boldsymbol{v}$
3. while overflow(v)
if isRoot(v)
create a new empty root above $\boldsymbol{v}$

$$
v \leftarrow \operatorname{split}(v)
$$

Let $T$ be a $(2,4)$ tree with $n$ items

- Tree $\boldsymbol{T}$ has $\boldsymbol{O}(\log \boldsymbol{n})$ height
- Step 1 takes $\boldsymbol{O}(\log \boldsymbol{n})$ time because we visit $\boldsymbol{O}(\log \boldsymbol{n})$ nodes
- Step 2 takes $\boldsymbol{O}(1)$ time
- Step 3 takes $\boldsymbol{O}(\log \boldsymbol{n})$ time because each split takes $\boldsymbol{O}(1)$ time and we perform $\boldsymbol{O}(\log n)$ splits
- Thus, an insertion in a $(2,4)$ tree takes $\boldsymbol{O}(\log \boldsymbol{n})$ time


## Deletion

- We reduce deletion of an entry to the case where the item is at the node with leaf children
- Otherwise, we replace the entry with its inorder successor (or, equivalently, with its inorder predecessor) and delete the latter entry
- Example: to delete key 24, we replace it with 27 (inorder successor)
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## Underflow and Fusion

Deleting an entry from a node $v$ may cause an underflow, where node $v$ becomes a 1-node with one child and no keys

- To handle an underflow at node $v$ with parent $u$, we consider two cases
- Case 1: the adjacent siblings of $v$ are 2-nodes
- Fusion operation: we merge $v$ with an adjacent sibling $w$ and move an entry from $u$ to the merged node $v^{\prime}$
- After a fusion, the underflow may propagate to the parent $\boldsymbol{u}$



## Underflow and Transfer

- To handle an underflow at node $v$ with parent $u$, we consider two cases
- Case 2: an adjacent sibling $w$ of $v$ is a 3-node or a 4-node
- Transfer operation:

1. we move a child of $w$ to $v$
2. we move an item from $u$ to $v$
3. we move an item from $w$ to $u$

- After a transfer, no underflow occurs
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## Analysis of Deletion

Let $T$ be a $(2,4)$ tree with $n$ items

- Tree $\boldsymbol{T}$ has $\boldsymbol{O}(\log \boldsymbol{n})$ height
$\diamond$ In a deletion operation
- We visit $\boldsymbol{O}(\log \boldsymbol{n})$ nodes to locate the node from which to delete the entry
- We handle an underflow with a series of $\boldsymbol{O}(\log \boldsymbol{n})$ fusions, followed by at most one transfer
- Each fusion and transfer takes $\boldsymbol{O}(1)$ time
$\diamond$ Thus, deleting an item from a $(2,4)$ tree takes $\boldsymbol{O}(\log \boldsymbol{n})$ time


## Comparison of Map Implementations

|  | Get | Put | Delete | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Hash <br> Table | 1 <br> expected | 1 <br> expected | 1 <br> expected | $\circ$ no ordered map <br> methods <br> osimple to implement |
| Skip List | $\log \boldsymbol{n}$ <br> high prob. | $\log \boldsymbol{n}$ <br> high prob. | $\log \boldsymbol{n}$ <br> high prob. | ○ randomized insertion <br> osimple to implement |
| AVL and <br> $(2,4)$ <br> Tree | $\log \boldsymbol{n}$ <br> worst-case | $\log \boldsymbol{n}$ <br> worst-case | $\log \boldsymbol{n}$ <br> worst-case | ○ complex to implement |

